

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,394	02/24/2004	Steve A. Yon	137001	6524
7590 05/08/2006			EXAMINER	
Mark D. Wieczorek, Ph.D., Esq. Innercool Therapies, Inc.			GIBSON, ROY DEAN	
3931 Sorrento Valley Boulevard San Diego, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/785,394	YON, STEVE A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy D. Gibson	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Marc	<u>h 6, 2006</u> .		
•	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-18 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5,19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Applicant's amendment filed on March 6, 2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1-32 are currently pending.

Prior Rejections or Objections

The following comments pertain to the rejections or objections in the most recent Office action mailed on Sep. 7, 2005. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 102 and 103 are withdrawn, however, new grounds of rejection are presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6-16, 18 and 21-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dobak, III et al. (6,261,312). Dobak et al. disclose a catheter system and its method of use in changing the temperature of the blood by heat transfer to and from a circulating working fluid, comprising the identical structure as claimed by Applicant (Figure 3 of Dobak), wherein the heat transfer element is a balloon made of rubber with flexible supply and return lumens capable of undergoing inflation, wherein the working fluid is saline, wherein the length of the supply lumen is between 5 and 30 cm, wherein the helical shape of the return lumen is less than about 8 mm when inflated, wherein the

Art Unit: 3739

working fluid supply is configured to produce a pressurized working fluid at a temperature of between about –3 to 36°C and at a pressure below about 5 atm, and wherein the return lumen includes a surface coating including heparin (col. 3, lines 7-19 and line 45-col. 4, line 28, col. 8, lines 35-46, col. 9, lines 32-58 col. 15, lines 57-61 and col. 16, lines 1-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobak et al. in view of Dato (3,325,419). Dobak et al. fail to disclose the heat transfer element is made of a flexible conductive metal. But, Date discloses a catheter for heat exchange with the blood in the vasculature and which is made of stainless steel, suggesting that it would have been obvious to a skillful artisan to modify the device of Dobak et al. as disclosed by Dato, to provide a catheter with higher thermal conductivity and therefore, higher heat transfer for the application (see Dato, col. 3, lines 3-60).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-32 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 4, 5, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy D. Bibson
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739